

## Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group Meeting 66

Held on Monday 26<sup>th</sup> February 2018 at 19.30 hrs

Village Hall, Norley Road, Cuddington

**Present:** David Jackson, Tim Vincent, Mike Jeal, Alan Latham, Colin Coles, Andy Benson, Eileen Kerrigan, John Kerrigan

**Apologies:** Juliette McDonald, Hilary Knowles, Val Godfrey

### 329 Minutes of Previous Meetings & Actions arising

These were reviewed.

### 330 Comments from Cheshire West and Chester on the Documents for Reg 15

These are attached below

Location	Page	Comment
Neighbourhood area		It would be useful to include a map showing the neighbourhood area at the beginning of the plan. A map is a requirement for submission – it doesn't have to be in the plan but useful if it is
1.2 Executive Summary second sentence	3.	I would maybe change reference to the three wards to maybe areas (or villages has been used somewhere) in the Parish as the Ward is Weaver and Cuddington and the Parish is Cuddington. I see what you are trying to do, but it is slightly confusing.
2.2.1	4.	The terminology used in the 2004 Act is 'local development documents' Also legislation set out in Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended)
Policy 6		Protects sites against development considered to be 'inappropriate' but doesn't define what that might be. Suggest rewording to '....protected from development except in very special circumstances'
Policy 9		This policy allows for B1a and A2 uses on PDL sites anywhere in the parish. I would have thought this type of use should be directed more to a town/local centre or at least within the KSC? Suggest deleting the references in the brackets to these types of devts and just leave as small scale employment devt. Suggest also a reference to STRAT9 in the policy or text to improve conformity.
Policy 10 – Retail Development (second paragraph)	23.	'Need' for retail development is not a requirement I am not sure this paragraph makes sense – the local centres are where you are directing retail development, which should be small scale. Where would be other viable locations? Suggest deleting the second paragraph
6.2.7 iv.	24.	Where is the evidence for 200sqm and again where are the other viable locations and there isn't a requirement to demonstrate retail need.
Policy 13 – Blakemere	27.	Limited food and drink as part of a mixed use solution? – suggest replacing 'limited' with 'small scale' and include a reference to policy ECON 1 and ECON 3.

## Basic Conditions Statement

Location	Page	Comment
Appendix 1	6	Would be better to change the map key to 'Neighbourhood Area'
Appendix 2:	8	Reference to Chester and Ellesmere Port retained policies – they should be deleted Check table again – all Local Plan (Part One) policies are strategic (ENV 8 and ENV 9 not applicable) ENV 6 for example has been missed off and could be included.

## Consultation Statement

Location	Page	Comment
1.6	3	1.6 is referenced twice
1.6 (the first one)	3	The two major schemes add up to x
1.6 (the second one)	3	Plan and statement say two local retail centres, but the map has three, including Delamere
6th January 2016 Project Start-Up with Cheshire West and Chester Planning Team (second paragraph)	4	Cuddington and Sandiway is classified as rural in the Local Plan (Part One) (including the Key Service Centre. The Neighbourhood Plan area contains the key service centre, countryside and Green Belt.
20th July 2016 - Meeting with CWaC Planning Team Chester (first sentence in the 3 <sup>rd</sup> paragraph)	5	Delete or reword
Appendix 10	15	Weblink needed – this is an important part of the consultation statement – the reg 14 comments and how they have responded to

## Guide to supporting evidence

Location	Page	Comment
1. Second paragraph, first sentence	2	.. National Planning Policy <del>Forum</del> Framework process, which requires it to be <b>consistent in general conformity</b> with the <b>strategic policies in the</b> Cheshire West and Chester Local Plan – Parts 1 and 2.
7 Pre submission consultation (first sentence)	9	Updated Regulations?
Are the following documents appendices to the Consultation Statement?		Cuddington NP Consultation Table of Comments and Responses 080218 Cuddington NP Vision, Objectives and Policy Ideas Consultation Report 080218

## Sustainability Appraisal

Location	Page	Comment
2.15 and throughout	6	Could a different word be used instead of Wards, the Ward is Weaver and Cuddington.

### 331 Further Actions

It was agreed that these comments would be incorporated in the final drafting of documents.

In addition a number of minor changes to the suite of documents were noted for alteration.

A meeting with Russell Smith had been arranged and Jonathan Cook would be contacted to start to rebuild the Character Assessment and assist where necessary in finalising documents.

Contact Urban Imprint for clarification on Emma's comments relating to the Basic Conditions Statement.

It was agreed that we would attend the next PC meeting on the 19<sup>th</sup> March to show them the proposed website content and layout etc.

The meeting closed at 8.45pm

### Summary of Actions

Action	By whom	Due Date
Contact Emma to review suite of documents	John & Eileen	Complete
Contact Russell Smith re changing web content for Reg 15	John	Complete
Contact Jonathan Cook	Eileen	asap
Contact Urban Imprint re changes to Basic Conditions Statement	John & Eileen	asap
Arrange for a slot at the next Parish Council meeting	Eileen	Early March
Complete reading and re-drafting work	All	asap
Prepare a project completion report	Juliette	End December